March 29, 2011

At a called meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, held at the Court House, thereof, on Tuesday, the 29th day of March, 2011; at 3:00 p.m., there were present:

Howard M. Campbell
William G. Fore, Jr.
Don C. Gantt, Jr.
Robert M. Jones
Charles W. McKay
Howard F. Simpson
Jim R. Wilck
Mattie P. Wiley

Also present: Wade Bartlett, County Administrator; and Sarah Puckett, Assistant County Administrator.

Chairman Fore called the Special Meeting to order at 3:00 p.m. for a budget work session.

Chairman Fore presented Judge Richard S. Blanton with a Resolution of Respect on the event of Judge Blanton’s retirement.

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF PRINCE EDWARD, VIRGINIA**

~A RESOLUTION OF RESPECT~

**The Honorable Richard S. Blanton**

**Circuit Court Judge, 10th Judicial District**

**WHEREAS,** the Honorable Richard S. Blanton, a native of Cumberland County and an esteemed judge of the 10th Judicial Circuit Court of Virginia, will retire March 31, 2011, after 16 years of distinguished service to the citizens of Prince Edward County and the 10th Judicial Circuit; and

**WHEREAS,** the Honorable Richard S. Blanton served his country in the United States Air Force from 1970 to 1974, received his undergraduate degree from Longwood College and was awarded his law degree from the Marshall-Wythe School of Law of the College of William and Mary; and

**WHEREAS,** from 1984 to 1989, the Honorable Richard S. Blanton held the elective office of Commonwealth’s Attorney for the County of Cumberland, Virginia; and
WHEREAS, from 1984 to 1989, the Honorable Richard S. Blanton held the appointed office of County Attorney for the County of Cumberland, Virginia; and

WHEREAS, from 1989 to 1995, the Honorable Richard S. Blanton held the appointed office of County Attorney for the County of Prince Edward, Virginia; and

WHEREAS, in 1995, the Honorable Richard S. Blanton was elected by the Virginia General Assembly to be a Circuit Court Judge in the 10th Judicial Circuit and was sworn in on April 1, 1995; and

WHEREAS, an admired jurist, the Honorable Richard S. Blanton has served two terms as a Circuit Court Judge and served as Chief Judge for the 10th Judicial Circuit for 2009 and 2010; and

WHEREAS, during his tenure as Circuit Court Judge, the Honorable Richard S. Blanton has heard a variety of cases, presiding with great decorum, honor, and commitment to equal justice for all; now

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia does hereby convey its high regard to a singularly dedicated, distinguished and accomplished Virginian, the Honorable Richard S. Blanton; and, be it

RESOLVED, FURTHER, that a copy of this resolution be prepared for presentation to the Honorable Richard S. Blanton, as an expression of great respect and appreciation for his many years of public service, by the members of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia.

In Re: Tri-Boro Rack Site Plan Approval

Mr. Wade Bartlett, County Administrator, stated a Preliminary Site Plan has been submitted by Tri-Boro Shelving, Inc. for a business expansion, “Tri-Boro Rack” on Lot B, in the Prince Edward Business Park, and adjacent to the property presently occupied by Tri-Boro Shelving. There was also a Property Division Plat submitted, identifying the existing Tri-Boro Shelving as Lot A and the expansion as Lot B. The plans show a 62,500 square foot building with a future addition of 37,500 square feet. The Planning Commission has reviewed the site plans for compliance with the “Protective Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions for the Prince Edward County Industrial Park.”

The Planning Commission, at their March 15, 2011 meeting, unanimously favored a motion which agreed that the 62,500 square foot building is within the context of the existing covenants provided that the following revision are addressed in the final plan so that the site plan will comply with the covenants:

- there is parking identified for Lot B consistent with Zoning requirements
- the area identified as “Storage and Laydown Area” not encroach within the 50’ setback
• any earthwork on the site not encroach in the right of way for Commerce Road
• that the landscaping covenant be applied to both Lot A and Lot B since the construction of the access to Lot B would have an impact on Lot A
• and the landscaping could be used as screening for the storage area

Following the Planning Commission recommendations, a revised site plan was submitted. This revised site plan has met the above recommendations, except for identifying parking and establishing landscaping plans. Landscaping and Screening are explained in Covenants A.4 and G.1. Parking is required in Covenant C.2.

The Prince Edward County Zoning Ordinance requires parking for Industrial Manufacturing and Warehousing uses. The Manufacturing use requires one (1) parking space per 1,000 square feet of manufacturing space. The Warehouse use requires one (1) parking space per 5,000 square feet of warehouse space. If the 62,500 square foot building was used equally for manufacturing and warehousing, the required parking would be 37 spaces. The owner has indicated 25 employees for this business site.

Mr. Bartlett indicated he spoke with the owner, who will provide parking spaces and will place a buffer of vegetative material between his and other parcels.

After further discussion, Supervisor Simpson made a motion to authorize the Zoning Administrator to approve the site plan contingent upon the recommendations of the Planning Commission, prior to obtaining a building permit; the motion carried:

Aye: Howard M. Campbell
     William G. Fore, Jr.
     Don C. Gantt
     Robert M. Jones
     Charles W. McKay
     Howard F. Simpson
     Jim R. Wilck
     Mattie P. Wiley

Nay: None

In Re: Presentation of the County Administrator’s Budget

Mr. Bartlett reviewed his letter to the Board of Supervisors, as follows:

“I am pleased to present to the Board of Supervisors my recommended budget for Prince Edward County for Fiscal Year 2012 (FY12). I am glad to report I am presenting a balanced budget with no tax increases. I look forward to the Board’s feedback and response to the many difficult decisions that will be required during this year’s budgetary process. While this year was difficult, it was not as
challenging as the past few years. The reason for that was twofold: first, local revenues have stabilized and, in fact, have increased in some areas; and second, while the General Assembly continues the pattern of reducing funding to local governments and pushing the expenses of the state to local governments, the amount has slowed this year. With the current climate in Richmond, I do not foresee any will on the part of the General Assembly to provide additional revenue to local governments, even when the economy turns around. This does not bode well for local governments and will continue to place great pressure on us in the future.

For FY12 health insurance rates increased 3.9%, which will cost the County approximately $20,000. Because it is the second year of the biennium, there are no increases in the VRS or Group Life insurance rates. The General Assembly did pass one significant expense to local governments this year – Line of Duty Benefit. This is a benefit the General Assembly created for public safety employees, to include volunteers. The state has funded this benefit for many years. But now that the costs have increased the Commonwealth is forcing local governments to pick-up the tab. For Prince Edward County it will cost approximately $26,000. This benefit provides a life insurance payment of $100,000 which is in addition to any VRS insurance payments. But the main expense and the reason the costs have increased so rapidly is that the benefit provides free health insurance coverage for life for any spouse and insurance for any dependents until they reach the age of 25, if a full-time student.

Before discussing the new budget which starts on July 1, 2011, I think it is appropriate to review the current budget and the forecast of the state of the County’s finance’s at the start of the new fiscal year. Enclosure (1) is a chart of projected fund balances for each of our budgetary funds at the end of the current fiscal year. The General Fund is our primary source of revenue and supports the majority of all County operations. I project the General Fund will end FY11 with an increase in the fund balance of $632,623. This will be the result of revenues exceeding forecasts by almost $81,000 and expenses being less than the budgeted amounts by almost $552,000. Besides the reimbursement of slightly more than $183,000 from the bonds issued for the new Library, I do not foresee the revenues in any particular category either exceeding or falling short of the budget by a significant amount. Overall, General Property taxes, which is comprised of real estate, personal property, public service corporations, mobile homes, machinery & tools, merchant’s capital and the penalty and interest charges associated with those taxes, will see collections of almost $231,000 greater than their combined budgetary amounts.

Almost every department in the County has under expended its budget. I want to commend all County employees and Constitutional Officers and their employees for the hard work necessary to achieve such a positive outcome. This fund balance increase will play an important role in the FY12 budget as explained below.

Another major revenue source is the Landfill Construction Fund. Revenues from customers of the landfill located outside Prince Edward County are placed in this fund and are accumulated and are then used to pay for the closure and construction of new landfill cells. It is anticipated we will need to close a cell in the spring of 2012 and that cost is reflected in the FY12 budget for this fund.

For FY12, the Board of Supervisors made it clear they did not want to see any tax increases, and at the same time the Board wishes to maintain the current level of services to our citizens. This proposed budget meets those goals. I am proposing a budget that is relatively flat with only a few exceptions. After deducting the transfers between funds, the proposed budget for all funds is $47,983,493. The budget is balanced but requires the use of some of the fund balances. I recommend using $386,174 of the $632,623 increase in the Fund Balance of the General Fund mentioned above and $524,000 of the
fund balance of the Landfill Construction Fund. As mentioned earlier, the use of the Landfill Construction Fund reserves is the County’s normal method of operation for capital costs associated with opening and closing cells at the Landfill.

The proposed budget includes the transfer of $7,880,132 to the schools and represents level funding after deducting $32,912 for the decrease in the debt service payments. Enclosure (2) is a chart that shows the revenues, expenses and projected fund balances of the various funds at the end of FY12. Enclosure (3) provides a comparison of the departmental costs over the last 3 years.

Factors shaping the FY12 Proposed Budget

The FY12 proposed budget incorporates the Board’s direction to present a budget that level funds the schools, little if any use of the fund balance, no increase in tax rates, and at the same time maintain core service levels.

The FY12 proposed budget process comes amid a slowly improving economy. One statistic which conveys the economic health of a locality is the unemployment rate. Unemployment in Prince Edward County fell to 9.3% in January 2011, compared with 10.2% last January. This compares favorably to the unemployment rate for the nation which is 9.8% but is higher than the state average of 6.9% for the same period. The Commonwealth’s overall rate also decreased almost a full percentage point the past year.

This improving economy, even if it is a slow recovery, bodes well for the future. We are seeing an increase in the number of business prospects displaying an interest in Prince Edward County. The future looks more promising now than it has for three years. Luck Stone is still proceeding on the opening of the quarry, we have two local businesses that are set to expand, and we are in serious negotiations with a firm that would be new to the area. Finally, I am hopeful the Granite Falls project will develop. While I am bullish on the future prospects of Prince Edward County, I did not factor any revenue growth from any of these projects into my revenue calculations.

The NADA values we have seen are showing a decrease of about 10% for used vehicles. The sale of new cars, which seem to be holding steady, will help offset this decline. But to be conservative I lowered the FY12 budgeted amount in this category by $288,707 or almost 10% as compared to the amount in FY11. I do not foresee any other revenue source changing to such a degree.

Because of the uncertainty of the final decision involving the Sandy River Water Project both the Water and Sewer funds will be in a state of flux until a decision is made. I developed the budget for both funds with the assumption that the water project will not be funded. But the current Line of Credit matures in November and the temporary borrowing must be made permanent. I developed the Water and Sewer budgets with the assumption that the full line of credit would be drawn down and the County would borrow $4,700,000 payable over 10 years with an interest rate of 3.75% to retire the line of credit. To present the worst case scenario I assumed payments on the permanent financing would begin on July 1, 2011.

There are a number of outside factors that may still impact the FY12 budget. Final decisions and implementing instructions regarding the Commonwealth’s budget have not been received. Thus, there is still uncertainty regarding the final disposition of State and Federal funding at the local level, but we must proceed with the information we have at hand. Enclosure (2) contains the projected fund balances at the end of FY12.
FY12 Reduction Strategies

The Board of Supervisors has expressed its concern for the citizens who are struggling during this period of economic uncertainty. To meet the challenge laid out by the Board of Supervisors requires the help and sacrifice of the Constitutional Officers.

In addition to eliminating most capital purchases, the budget includes no pay increases for county employees. This will be the fourth year with no pay increases. But I am recommending a bonus be paid equal to 3% of employee’s salary which will cost $113,000.

The Prince Edward County School Board has requested $8,155,132 in local funds for operations, which represents an increase of $275,000 after accounting for the decrease in debt service. These funds would allow a pay raise of 1.5% for school employees. It is the schools intention to provide another $275,000 and provide a pay raise of 3% for school employees. I recognize the education of our children is one of the cornerstones in fostering future prosperity for the County, but I am also very aware of the burdens our tax payers are facing. Faced with that choice and the fact the schools can afford to provide either a pay raise of 1.5% or a bonus with level funding I am not recommending increasing the funds provided to the Schools. I have fulfilled your desires and am recommending level funding for the Schools.

With revenues forecast to show no significant growth and expenses such as health insurance and state mandates increasing, it was very difficult to fund needed capital expenditures without a tax increase. To resolve that issue I am recommending the use of $386,174 of the $632,623 projected increase in the General Fund at the end of FY11. The expenses associated with this recommendation are one-time expenses that will not continue in the out years. It is appropriate to use one-time savings to pay for one-time expenses.

As stated above, capital expenditures are being kept to a minimum. The General Fund contains only five recommended capital projects totaling $140,000. The first is an upgrade to the financial software system run on the AS400. This software will allow the County to place tax information on-line and allow citizens to make tax payments on the internet. This will cost $14,000 for the software, and $4,000 for the hardware for a total of $18,000. I am recommending we replace the vehicle used by the Biosolids employee at a cost of $22,000. It is anticipated that cost will be shared with the other Counties participating in this program. I am recommending a budget line of $30,000 be approved for physical plant needs. There are no specific projects planned at this time but budgeting funds would allow me to respond to emergencies in a timely manner. The fourth item is $15,000 for the replacement of various computers, printers and software. Finally, I am recommending the purchase of two cars for the sheriff at a cost of $55,000.

In addition to capital purchases I recommend the use of $18,500 to purchase non-capital equipment for the Sheriff, $18,000 to allow the purchase of additional time from our information technology contractor and the $113,000 for the bonus mentioned above. All of the items mention total $289,500. The final item I am recommending to fund from the increase in the fund balance is the last payment of $66,667 owed to the Town of Farmville on the Parking Lease. All of the items mentioned to include the capital projects total $356,167.

Tax Rates
I recommend all tax rates be maintained at their current rates.

**Water & Sewer**

As stated above these funds are in a state of flux. I developed the budget of both funds with the assumption that the water project will not be funded. But the current Line of Credit matures in November and the temporary borrowing must be made permanent. I developed the Water and Sewer budgets with the assumption that the full line of credit would be draw down and the County would borrow $4,700,000 payable over 10 years with an interest rate of 3.75% to retire the line of credit. To present the worst case scenario I assumed payments on the permanent financing would begin on July 1, 2011. A later starting date on repayment of the permanent financing could be arranged as explained by Mr. Sanderson in his presentation which would lessen the impact on County finances in FY12.

This requires a total payment of interest and principal of $564,348 annually. The Water Fund would be charged with 72.2% of the payment and sewer with 27.8%. Making this debt permanent would allow the Water Fund the ability to repay the $811,000 fronted by the General Fund in FY10. But such action would only be temporary since the Water and Sewer Funds currently do not generate enough revenue to fund such large debt payments. Therefore I am recommending the Water Fund be allowed to keep that money and use it to pay the debt service for FY12.

**Conclusion**

I look forward to our future work sessions and realize the Board may recommend changes to the proposed budget. That is understandable and expected. Thank you for this opportunity to present a balanced budget for the citizens of Prince Edward County.”

Mr. Bartlett asked the Board to contact him with any questions, and stated the next meeting would be regarding the donation requests. He recommended the Board not fund new requests; the Youth Empowerment Center is asking for $30,000 and the Mary E. Branch Community Center is requesting $23,750 but he does not recommend funding the Center due to the status of the licensing.

Supervisor Wiley asked for clarification on funding for the Water project; Mr. Bartlett said the budget does not include funds for the water project. He said if the Board proceeds with the project, changes will be necessary, but no debt will be incurred in FY12.

Supervisor McKay asked if all donations are recommended at level-funding. Mr. Bartlett said all are recommended at level funding except the Community Center. He said the Library is also recommended to be level funded at the cumulative amount provided in the previous year, for the Library to fund hours of operation.
Supervisor Wiley asked if the Board decides to move forward with the water project, would the County still be in a position to maintain the current tax rates. Mr. Bartlett said that as shown by the financing report by Davenport, the County would not see expenses in FY12 and interest only in FY13. Discussion followed.

Chairman Fore called a 40-minute recess at 4:16 p.m.